CALIFORNIA ENVIROTHON JUDGES' SCORING SHEET FOR TEAM PRESENTATION 0-not at all 2-poor or poorly 4-fair or slightly well 10 - ...

Scale for Scoring:

Team Number:	
Room Number:	
Indge's Initials.	

PART I: Prep. and Presentation of Plan (60 points max)		Circle Score				
A. How well did the presentation address or identify:						
The interrelationships between the environment, natural resources, and different natural resource management strategies?			4	6	8	10
All the different players/interest groups affected by the problem?		2	4	6	8	10
The major natural resources areas (aquatics, forestry, soils, wildlife)?		2	4	6	8	10
The current issue?		2	4	6	8	10
The specific environments, problem and related issues regarding the problem?		2	4	6	8	10
B. Were references and resources cited in the team presentation?		2	4	6	8	10
PART I SUBTOTAL						

PART II Application of Data (80 points maximum)		Circle Score				
A. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of political issues) related to the problem, (regulations, mandates, impact on political system/community)		2	4	6	8	10
B. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of the ecological/environmental issues related to the problem.	0	2	4	6	8	10
C. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of economic issues related to the problem including the cost and benefits of the proposed plan. (cost of implementing the plan, economic impact on local resources, cost of doing nothing, future costs, funding sources, etc.)		2	4	6	8	10
D. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of social and/or cultural issues related to the problem. (private property rights, traditions, clean and healthy environment, right to farm, urban issues, cultural issues, environmental justice.)		2	4	6	8	10
E. The team presented one viable solution to the problem addressing the resource issue.		2	4	6	8	10
F. The main parts were clearly stated and supported. (conclusion was clearly defined and convincing.)		2	4	6	8	10
G. Solution in the presentation has potential to be applied or implemented with long term sustainability to natural resources.		2	4	6	8	10
H. Did the solution reflect or address the concerns of all affected groups and issues?		2	4	6	8	10
PART II SUBTOTAI						

Part III Quality of the Presentation (40 points maximum)		Circle Score				
A. Presentation was well organized with a clear introduction and strong conclusion.			4	6	8	10
B. Participants enhanced the presentation, (eye contact, gestures, voice inflection, originality, exhibited professionalism, etc.)			4	6	8	10
C. Visual aids were used to make major points and show conclusions. (visual aids should be correct, eye contact, eye appealing, readable, neat, etc.)		2	4	6	8	10
D. Questions were answered logically and concisely by all team members participating.		2	4	6	8	10
PART III SUBTOTAL						

PART IV Required Elements (20 points)			Circle Score			
A. Add up to ten points for team member participants in presentation. (each team member gets up to 2 points for equatorial participation in presentation.)		2	4	6	8	10
B. Add up to five points if the presentation was accomplished in the allotted time scale and the team made effective use of their time (Time scale is 13-15 minutes.)		1	2	3	4	5
C. Add up to five points if the presentation accomplished the task of presenting a plan.		1	2	3	4	5
PART IV SUBTOTAL						

POINT SYSTEM	FINAL SCORE	FINAL SCORE			
5 points if 13-15 minutes	TOTAL POINTS FOR PART I	(60 max)			
4 points if 11-12 minutes	TOTAL POINTS FOR PART II	(80 max)			
3 points if 9-10 minutes	TOTAL POINTS FOR PART III	(40 max)			
2 points if 7-8 minutes	TOTAL POINTS FOR PART IV	(20 max)			
1 point if less than 6 minutes					
	FINAL SCORE	(200 max)			